Record of proceedings dated 25.06.2015

O. P. No.35 of 2015

M/s Axis Wind Energy Ltd. & 6 others vs GoAP & 6 others

Petition seeking framing guidelines determining evacuation policy and wheeling charges for captive generation or sale to third parties.

Sri. S V S Chowdary counsel for the petitioner and Sri. J Aswini Kumar Advocate representing Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the respondent are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that he is taking steps to amend the cause title of the case and would be filing the same in a day or two. The counsel or the respondents has no objection. The counsel agreed to submit the arguments on the merits of the case along with the other case in R P No 7 of 2015.

The Commission adjourned the hearing on the condition that the petitioner complies with the requirement of amending the cause title, but made it clear that no further adjournment would be granted to the petitioner or the respondents and the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments.

 Call on 15.07.2015

 At 11:00 AM

 Sd/ Sd/

 Member
 Chairman

O. P. No. 42 of 2015

M/s Penna Cement Industries Ltd. Vs APTRANSCO, APPCC & DISCOMS

Petition us/86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking to recover the amount Rs. 2,66,34,295/- towards pending dues on account of supply of electricity.

Sri. P. Srinivas Dayal Dy Manager (Legal) for the petitioner and Sri. J Aswini Kumar Advocate for Sri. Y. Rama Rao, counsel for respondent are present. The counsel respondents sought adjournment of the matter as he needs some more time to make submissions in the matter. He also stated that he needs to file a counter in the matter.

Having ascertained from the petitioner that the matter relates to a unit in Telangana, adjourned the hearing on the condition that no further adjournment would be granted

to the petitioner or the respondents and the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments.

Call on 15.07.2015 At 11:00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/- Sd/-Member Member

O. P. No. 46 of 2015

M/s Elcon Greengen India (P) Ltd. & 4 others vs DISCOMS

Petition filed seeking concessional wheeling and transmission charges for captive users including 3rd party sale of wind energy and promotion of wind open access transactions.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Sri. J. Aswini Kumar is present for the respondents.

The Commission having noticed that the petitioner is not present on two consecutive occasions and represented the matter, dismissed the same for default.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/- Member Chairman

O. P. No. 50 of 2015

M/s IL & FS Wind Farms Ltd. Vs TSSPDCL

Petition under sec. 142, 143 and 129 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for directions to the respondents to comply with the tariff orders dated 31.03.2009 passed by the commission and pay at the rate Rs. 3.37 / KWH for the electricity supplied by the petitioner and other reliefs mentioned there in.

Sri. B. Tagore Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. J. Aswini Kumar Advocate for Sri. Y, Rama Rao counsel for the respondents are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the amounts are due and the respondent has not filed its counter. Though the unit is in the other that Andrhra Pradesh the claim relates to the period 2011 – 2012. The respondent has to it amounts already quantified directed to be paid by the Commission. The counsel for the respondent stated that he would seek information from the client and place the same by the next date of hearing.

Having ascertained from the petitioner that the matter involves the Telangana DISCOM, adjourned the hearing on the condition that no further adjournment would

be granted to the petitioner or the respondents and the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments.

> Call on 15.07.2015 At 11:00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/- Sd/-Member Member

> R. P. No.07 of 2015 And IA No. 15 of 2015

M/s Axis Energy Ventres (India) Pvt.Ltd. & others vs M/s Indian Wind Energy Association (InWEA) & DISCOMS M/s Rayala Wind Power Company Pvt.Ltd.

Petition us/ 94 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for review of the order in OP No. 13 of 2012 dated 15.11.2012.

Sri. S V S Chowdary counsel for the petitioner and Sri. J Aswini Kumar Advocate representing Sri. Y. Rama Rao counsel for the respondent are present. The counsel for the petitioner stated that he is taking steps to amend the cause title of the case and would be filing the same in a day or two. The counsel or the respondents has no objection. The counsel agreed to submit the arguments on the merits of the case along with the other case in O. P. No 35 of 2015. He is required by the Commission to address arguments on the threshold of maintainability of the review petition also.

The Commission adjourned the hearing on the condition that the petitioner complies with the requirement of amending the cause title, but made it clear that no further adjournment would be granted to the petitioner or the respondents and the parties should be ready with all the facts to submit arguments.

Call on 15.07.2015 At 11:00 AM Sd/-Chairman

Sd/- Sd/-Member Member